# This Page Is Inserted by IFW Operations and is not a part of the Official Record

### BEST AVAILABLE IMAGES

Defective images within this document are accurate representations of the original documents submitted by the applicant.

Defects in the images may include (but are not limited to):

- BLACK BORDERS
- TEXT CUT OFF AT TOP, BOTTOM OR SIDES
- FADED TEXT
- ILLEGIBLE TEXT
- SKEWED/SLANTED IMAGES
- COLORED PHOTOS
- BLACK OR VERY BLACK AND WHITE DARK PHOTOS
- GRAY SCALE DOCUMENTS

## IMAGES ARE BEST AVAILABLE COPY.

As rescanning documents will not correct images, please do not report the images to the Image Problem Mailbox.



### UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATIÓN NO.
10/036,992	12/29/2001	Sherif Safwat	2194DIV1	6026
, 7:	590 07/01/2003			
A Professional Corporation			EXAMINER	
Post Office Box Sunnyvale, CA			ROWAN,	KURT C
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			3643	
			DATE MAILED: 07/01/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.



Application No. 10/036,992

Applicant(s)

**SAFWAT** 

Office Action Summary

Examiner

**KURT ROWAN** 

Art Unit 3643

The MAILING DATE of	this communication appears on th	e cover sheet with the correspondence address
Period for Reply		
THE MAILING DATE OF THIS ( - Extensions of time may be available under the mailing date of this communication.	COMMUNICATION. he provisions of 37 CFR 1.136 (a). In no ever	at, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the
<ul> <li>If NO period for reply is specified above, the</li> <li>Failure to reply within the set or extended p</li> </ul>	e maximum statutory period will apply and will reriod for reply will, by statute, cause the applic three months after the mailing date of this com	tory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.  expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  cation to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).  munication, even if timely filed, may reduce any
Status		
1) 💢 Responsive to communic	cation(s) filed on May 30, 2003	
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	2b) 💢 This action is	non-final.
		ot for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is uayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
4) 💢 Claim(s) <u>124-138</u>		is/are pending in the application.
4a) Of the above, claim(s)		is/are withdrawn from consideration.
5) Claim(s)		is/are allowed.
6) 💢 Claim(s) <u>124, 126-128, a</u>	and 130-134	is/are rejected.
7) 💢 Claim(s) <u>125, 129, and</u>	135-138	is/are objected to.
8)		are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.
Application Papers		
9) The specification is object	cted to by the Examiner.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on	is/are a) 🗆	accepted or b) $\square$ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not reques	st that any objection to the drawin	g(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
11) The proposed drawing c	orrection filed on	is: a) $\square$ approved b) $\square$ disapproved by the Examiner
If approved, corrected dra	awings are required in reply to this	s Office action.
12) The oath or declaration i	is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119	and 120	
13) Acknowledgement is ma	ide of a claim for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) All b) Some* c)	☐ None of:	
1. Certified copies of	the priority documents have been	en received.
2. Certified copies of	the priority documents have bee	en received in Application No
application 1	from the International Bureau (P	
	Office action for a list of the cer	•
14) Acknowledgement is ma		
	foreign language provisional app	
•	ide of a claim for domestic prior	ity under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	<b>41</b> [	Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s).
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing		Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PT		Other:
	<del></del>	

Art Unit: 3643

#### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Continued Examination Under 37 CFR 1.114

1. A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on May 30, 2003 has been entered.

#### Specification

2. The lengthy specification has not been checked to the extent necessary to determine the presence of all possible minor errors. Applicant's cooperation is requested in correcting any errors of which applicant may become aware in the specification.

#### Claim Rejections - 35 U.S.C. § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 3

Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

Claims 124, 126, 127, 128, 130, 131, 132, 133, 134, are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) 4. as being unpatentable over Haller for substantially the same reasons stated in the first Office Action.

The patent to Haller shows a net which is capable of being drawn thru the water with a mesh design having a first right hand cell bar 60 and a second left handed cell bar 59 which would inherently provide lift to the net to increase the net volume and improve the shape of the net. Haller disclose the mesh design for gill nets, but it would have been obvious to employ the net design for other types of nets such as a trawl net since the function is the same. Haller contemplates a plurality of interconnected mesh cells each having at least two cell bars that are constructed and arranged so that the two cell bars would intersect a velocity vector at an acute angle. Haller shows the two cell bars being formed with a plurality of cambered sections 57, 58 and 59, 60 forming two product strands that are oriented and configured so that movement of cell bars thru the water relative to the velocity vector creates a pressure differential across the cambered sections to establish a lift vector on the cell bar in a predetermined direction. Cambered section 56 shows a corkscrew-shaped member in Fig. 2. In reference to claims 131, 132, Haller does not disclose that the lift vector for the cell bars is directed outward from the trawl net, but it would have been obvious to direct the lift vectors outward from the net to expand the net.

Page 4 Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

#### Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 125, 129, 135, 136, 137, 138 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected 5. base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

#### Response to Amendment

The declaration under 37 CFR 1.132 filed May 30, 2003 is insufficient to overcome the 6. rejection of claims 124, 126-128, 130-134 based upon Haller as set forth in the last Office action because: the showing is commensurate in scope with the claims.

It refer(s) only to the system described in the above referenced application and not to the individual claims of the application. Thus, there is no showing that the objective evidence of nonobviousness is commensurate in scope with the claims. See MPEP § 716.

In view of the foregoing, when all of the evidence is considered, the totality of the rebuttal evidence of nonobviousness fails to outweigh the evidence of obviousness.

7.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to KURT ROWAN whose telephone number is (703) 308-2321.

The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 a.m. to 5:00 p.m.

Application/Control Number: 10/036,992

Art Unit: 3643

The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 306-4195 or (703) 305-3597.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

**KURT ROWAN** 

PRIMARY EXAMINER

ART UNIT 3643

June 29, 2003